



**NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF
DESIGN + CONSTRUCTION**

DAVID J. BURNEY, AIA
Commissioner

DONALD HOOKER
Agency Chief
Contracting Officer

May 10, 2007

ADDENDUM NO. 1

**PROJECT: PDFDPSAC2, Architectural, Engineering Design and Services during Construction for
NYPD/FDNY Public Safety Answering Center II
PIN: 8502007PD0007P**

**THE ADDENDUM IS ISSUED FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE REQUIREMENTS OF
THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS AND IS HEREBY MADE A PART OF SAID REQUEST FOR
PROPOSALS TO THE SAME EXTENT AS THOUGH IT WERE ORIGINALLY THEREIN.**

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Section I RFP Issuance (Page 2 and 3 of the RFP): Delete in its entirety and replace with revised Section I attached to this Addendum.

Section III Scope of Work and Contract Conditions (Pages 5 thru 7 of the RFP): Delete in its entirety and replace with revised Section III attached to this Addendum.

Section IV Format and Content of the Proposal (Pages 8 thru 11 of the RFP): Delete in its entirety and replace with revised Section IV attached to this Addendum.

Section V Proposal Evaluation and Contract Award Procedures (Page 12 thru 14 of the RFP): Delete in its entirety and replace with revised Section V attached to this Addendum.

Attachment 3 Fee Proposal Form (Page 22 of the RFP): Delete in its entirety and replace with new Attachment 3 (Proposal Staffing Plan) attached to this Addendum.

Please note the submission deadline has been extended from Monday, May 14, 2007 to Wednesday, May 16, 2007.

**Contact: Carlo Di Fava, difavac@ddc.nyc.gov
Phone No.: 718-391-1541**

=====
By signing in the space provided below, the Proposer acknowledges receipt of this Addendum.

THIS ADDENDUM MUST BE SIGNED BY THE PROPOSER FOR THE CONTRACT AND ATTACHED TO THE TECHNICAL PROPOSAL.

Donald Hooker
Agency Chief Contracting Officer

Name of Proposer

By _____

Title _____

SECTION I. TIMETABLE

A. RFP Issuance

Pre-Proposal Conference

A pre-proposal conference will be held at 10:00 am on Tuesday, May 1, 2007 at the 3rd Floor Training Room, 30-30 Thomsen Ave, LIC, NY 11101. Attendance is recommended but not mandatory to propose on the contract described in this RFP, it is strongly encouraged.

Submission Deadlines

Stage One submissions (1 original and 5 copies) shall be delivered on or before **4:00 PM on Wednesday, May 16, 2007** and clearly marked with the project name and “Stage One Proposal” on the exterior of the envelope or other packaging.

Stage Two submissions (Applicable to Stage One-Short-Listed Proposers Only) The proposer shall deliver, on a date to be determined, the proposal in a clearly marked envelope or package. The proposal shall consist of THREE separate clearly marked, sealed packages containing the following: **(1) the Technical Proposal (1 original and 5 copies), (2) the proposed Staffing Plan (1 original and 5 copies) and (3) Subcontractor Utilization Plan (1 original)**. The project name and “Stage Two Proposal” shall be clearly marked on the exterior of the envelope or other packaging.

Proposals shall be hand delivered to the contact person at the location listed below. Proposals received after the applicable due date and time prescribed in the RFP are late and will not be accepted except at the discretion of DDC pursuant to the applicable section of the City Procurement Policy Board Rules.

Carlo Di Fava, (718) 391- 1541
Professional Contracts Section
Department of Design and Construction
30-30 Thomson Avenue, 4th Floor (Entrance on 30th Place)
Long Island City, NY 11101
E-mail: difavac@ddc.nyc.gov

NOTE: Respondents are held responsible for ensuring that the RFP response package is received by the Professional Contract Section by the deadline. Respondents are warned not to rely on signed delivery slips from their messenger services. Occasionally packages are delivered to the School Construction Authority located in the same building and the packages are not forwarded to the DDC Professional Contracts Section in a timely manner. Entrance to DDC is on 30th Place, not Thomson Avenue despite our Thomson Avenue house number.

B. Inquiries

In the event a proposer desires any explanation regarding the meaning or interpretation of this RFP, such explanation must be requested in writing, no later than one week prior to the submission date prescribed in the RFP. In the event DDC determines that it is necessary to respond to the inquiry in writing, such response will be furnished as an addendum to the RFP to all potential proposers known to have downloaded the RFP. All addenda will be available on DDC's website. All inquiries must be directed ONLY to the contact person listed above.

C. Addenda

Receipt of an addendum to this RFP by a proposer must be acknowledged by attaching an original signed copy of the "Acknowledgement of Addenda" form (Attachment 4) to the Stage One and Stage Two proposal. All addenda shall become a part of the requirements for this RFP.

D. RFP Schedule

The following is the estimated timetable for receipt, evaluation, and selection of proposals. This is only an estimate and is provided to assist responding firms in planning.

- a. Establish Shortlist of Stage One Firms: Within two weeks of submission deadline.
- b. Identify Consultant: Within two weeks of Stage Two submission deadline.
- c. Complete Contract Registration: Approximately three months from date of consultant selection.
- d. Commence Work: Upon receipt of Notice of Award.

SECTION III. SCOPE OF WORK AND CONTRACT CONDITIONS

A. Project Objectives

The Public Safety Answering Center II (PSAC II) will house the most advanced and complex communication systems in the country which will provide fast, efficient, emergency 9-1-1 service to the citizens and visitors of New York City and provide redundancy in the City's E-911 call answering system, by operating simultaneously with the PSAC I facility, which has been operational since January of 1996.

The PSAC II facility will be a mirror image of the first facility and work in tandem with it. Once both facilities are operational, they will serve as dual active "hot" sites, processing all 9-1-1 telephone calls within New York City. When both PSACs are operational, should one facility be taken off-line for *any* reason, the other will be capable of providing necessary 9-1-1 service to the entire city.

PSAC II must be designed to operate without interruption under extremely adverse conditions, and to withstand the effects of a major disaster (hurricane, flood, earthquake or bomb blast). It will be necessary to:

- Design mechanical, plumbing and electrical systems to permit continuous operation (less than one minute of downtime annually), and allow for complete redundancy of critical systems.
- Design to minimize the building's vulnerability to progressive collapse resulting from a bomb blast or earthquake.
- Design exterior cladding to resist hurricane wind loads, blast effects and light arms ballistic impact.

The Consultant will be responsible for design of the building core and shell, including all mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems. The design and layout of the call answering/dispatch equipment and the associated furniture and fixtures will be done by others. The building must comply with all applicable laws and ordinances, including the recently enacted Green Buildings Law (Local Law 86 of 2005) governing sustainable design.

Local Law 86 applies to most capital projects costing \$2 million or more. Most covered projects must be built to achieve a LEED "Silver" rating (LEED "Certified" rating for educational and certain types institutional projects). There are additional requirements in the law regarding energy and water efficiency: including those for plumbing systems, boiler replacements, and/or lighting and HVAC comfort control systems. The law does not apply to projects within spaces classified in certain occupancy groups, including residential and industrial, and is subject to certain exemptions.

In addition to preparing the required documentation for LEED certification, if applicable, the consultant will be required to provide project data for the purposes of project reporting using the Local Law 86 reporting worksheet, as requested by the agency. Such data includes project description, construction costs, LEED credits sought and earned (if applicable), reductions in energy cost and in water use, and incremental constructions costs.

The PSAC II facility is estimated to be approximately 400,000 square feet, with the following program areas:

• Entrance/common space/employee facilities	29,835 sf
• PD Administrative Support	8,023 sf
• PD Command Control	18,955 sf
• FD Crisis Management	10,240 sf
• FD/PD Training	8,200 sf
• PD Dispatch	15,390 sf
• FD Dispatch	6,613 sf
• FD/PD Radio and CAD	42,350 sf
• Base Building MEP, Floor and Core	165,591 sf
• Circulation/Planning Factor	84,805 sf

More detailed “Project Objectives” will be issued at the beginning of Stage Two to those proposers short listed in Stage One of the RFP. The Project Objectives shall provide a comprehensive description of the project, including plans and background information, and specific design services required.

DDC intends to use building information modeling (BIM) and document management system software on this project. It is likely that an integrated project office will be set up, from which the project team (City personnel, consultants and subconsultants) will operate.

B. Contract Conditions

The estimated cost of the required construction work for the project is \$700,000,000. The term of the contract shall commence on the date set forth in the written Advice of Award and run for 730 consecutive calendar days. The projected time allotments for each major phase of the work are listed below:

Schematic Design	- 5 months
Design Development	- 6 months
Final Design	- 9 months
Bid, Award, Register Contracts	- 4 months

C. Insurance

The consultant and all subconsultants performing services for this contract must provide the types and amounts of insurance specified in the contract. The proposer is advised to carefully review such insurance requirements.

C. Contract Provisions

The Contract to be used for the project, including detailed Project Objectives, will be issued at the beginning of Stage Two to those proposers short listed in Stage One of the RFP. The services to be provided by the Consultant and all standards of performance applicable to the required work shall be as described in this form of contract. Any firm awarded a contract as a result of this RFP will be required to sign this form of contract.

E. Participation by Minority Owned and Women Owned Business Enterprises in City Procurement (Applicable to Stage One Short-Listed Proposers Only)

The contract resulting from this Request for Proposals will be subject to Local Law 129 of 2005, the Minority-Owned and Women-Owned Business Enterprise (M/WBE) program. Please refer to Attachment 6 for information on the M/WBE requirements established for this solicitation and instructions on how to complete the required forms.

If an M/WBE Subcontractor Utilization Plan is required for this proposal, the plan must be submitted in a separate, sealed envelope marked "Subcontractor Utilization Plan" at the same time the technical proposal is submitted. This envelope will be opened only when and if the firm is selected for fee negotiations. Failure to include or properly fill out the Subcontractor Utilization Plan will result in the rejection of the Proposal as non-responsive. If a full waiver has been granted, the proposer shall include the signed waiver form in the envelope *in lieu of* a Subcontractor Utilization Plan. If a partial waiver has been granted, the proposer shall include the signed waiver form in the envelope with its Subcontractor Utilization Form.

Note: As fully explained in Attachment 6, if you are planning to request a waiver of the Target Subcontracting Percentage, the waiver must be submitted to the Agency at least seven days prior to the Stage Two proposal due date and time in order to be considered.

SECTION IV. FORMAT AND CONTENT OF THE PROPOSAL

Proposal Subdivisions Instructions: Proposers should provide all information required in the format below. The proposal should be typed on both sides of 8½" X 11" paper. The City of New York requests that all proposals be submitted on paper with not less than 30% post-consumer material content, i.e., the minimum recovered fiber content level for reprographic paper recommended by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (for any changes to that standard please consult: <http://www.epa.gov/epg/products/printing.htm>). Pages should be paginated. The proposal will be evaluated on the basis of its content, not its length. Failure to comply with any of these instructions will not make the proposal non-responsive.

Submit proposal in a clearly labeled, sealed package as follows:

A. Stage 1 Proposal Requirements

This stage serves to highlight each proposer's previously completed architectural projects that demonstrate creativity and insight in the solution of a complex architectural problem. Proposers should provide projects that reflect this ability both in the internal planning as well as in the project's relationship to its site and surrounding environment. Creative and innovative use of materials and construction methods should be clearly described.

Portfolio (1 original and 5 copies): Provide a portfolio of up to five projects built within the last ten years that demonstrate the firm's creativity and insight in designing large-scale buildings with complex programs and multiple clients. For each project, include the following: (1) resumes of the key personnel, (2) plan views and site plans for each project, with a three dimensional view of the exterior; and (3) a brief written description highlighting the salient characteristics of the project, including the design philosophy and approach and a description of what was innovative about the design. In addition, list any design citations or awards and entries to design competitions. Present each project on a single 11x17 inch sheet, including all text. All of the pages shall be bound in covers no larger than 9 x 12 inches. Format may be either landscape or portrait, but not both.

B. Stage II Proposal Requirements (Applicable to Stage One Short-Listed Proposers Only)

For those firms short-listed in Stage One, the Stage Two Proposal will serve to highlight their management and technical ability to carry out a project of the scope and type that is the subject of this RFP. In addition to the Stage Two Proposal, short-listed firms will be required to make a one-hour presentation. See Section V.2 for a more detailed description of the presentation requirements.

Technical Proposal (1 original and 5 copies): The technical proposal shall contain the following information:

1. A cover letter of no more than three pages, including the company name and address, and the name, address and telephone number of the person authorized to represent the responding firm. ***(Be sure to refer to the proper DDC project number and title.)*** Include a brief history of the firm, the overall firm organization, its goals and objectives, and a statement of design philosophy.
2. Experience of Consultant and Subconsultants (if any)
 - A SF-254 Form, which lists the number of full-time staff currently employed and the projects on which the firm is currently working, or has completed, and future projects and commitments. Provide the value of these contracts and their schedules. (This form is available at <http://www.nyc.gov/html/ddc/html/otherfrm.html>)
 - Provide examples of up to five completed projects similar in scope and type to this project completed within the last ten years. Visual materials can take the form of a printed brochure, photographs, drawings or similar images.
 - Experience of Subconsultants: If one or more subconsultants will be utilized to deliver the proposed services, discuss and demonstrate the extent and quality of each subconsultant's relevant experience inclusive of client satisfaction information and a discussion of problems that may have arisen during delivery of services and how they were resolved. Explain the relationship between the proposer and each subconsultant, including history of relationship, and how the deployment of responsibilities between the proposer and each subconsultant will be structured.

3. Key Personnel for the Project

Identify and present the qualifications of the key personnel. Utilize Part B of Attachment 2 to identify by name the individuals who will perform the required services for the listed titles of Key Personnel set forth on the form and provide information demonstrating their qualifications. For each identified individual, submit a resume detailing his/her qualifications and experience with similar projects. Submit an SF-255 for the key personnel of the project team.

(This form is available at <http://www.nyc.gov/html/ddc/html/otherfrm.html>)

4. Technical Approach

Provide a three page statement describing the proposer’s technical approach to the project, including (1) its understanding of the technical issues and complexities of the project, (2) its project methodology, including its methodology for tracking and mainlining the project’s budget and schedule, (3) its techniques for problem solving, (4) its technical quality control procedures, and (5) its management structure.

5. Statement of Understanding (Applicable to Stage One Short-Listed Proposers Only)

Sign and attach this document (Attachment 1) to the Proposal.

6. Acknowledgement of Addenda:

The Acknowledgement of Addenda form (Attachment 4) serves as the proposer’s acknowledgement of the receipt of addenda to this RFP that may have been issued by DDC prior to the Proposal Due Date and Time. The proposer should complete this form as instructed on the form.

C. Proposed Staffing Plan

Each of the proposers in Stage 2 shall submit a staffing plan showing how it will provide the required design services. The plan shall enumerate the staff hours for each design phase by title and discipline.

D. Proposal Package Contents (“Checklist”)

The Proposal Package should contain the following Materials:

Stage One:

1. Portfolio (1 original and 5 copies)
Sealed envelope clearly marked with “Stage One Submission”, including
 - Acknowledgement of Addenda (Attachment 4)

Stage Two:

1. Technical Proposal (1 original and 5 copies):
Sealed envelope clearly marked with “Stage Two Submission”, including
 - Statement of Understanding (Attachment 1)
 - Technical Proposal – Forms (Attachment 2)
 - Acknowledgement of Addenda (Attachment 4)

2. Proposed Staffing Plan (1 original and 5 copies) (Attachment 3)
3. Subcontractor Utilization Plan (1 original)
Sealed envelope clearly marked as “Subcontractor Utilization Plan”.

SECTION V. PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND CONTRACT AWARD PROCEDURES

This is a Quality Based Selection (QBS) project. DDC will rank proposals by technical merit, and negotiate a fair and reasonable price with the highest ranked firm.

A. Technical Proposal Evaluation

1. Stage One Selection Process

Selection Process

An evaluation committee comprised of an independent design professional, DDC and client agency personnel will review, evaluate and score all Stage One proposals pursuant to the criteria prescribed below. This evaluation and scoring will determine the proposer's Stage One Technical Rating. Proposers will then be ranked in accordance with their overall Stage One Technical Ratings.

The rankings will be submitted to the Executive Consultant Selection Committee who will certify the results and determine the number of top ranked firms to be included on the short list of firms to be further considered in the Stage Two selection process.

Proposal Evaluation Criteria: The project submitted will be evaluated base on the following criteria:

- a. The projects submitted will be evaluated for their design quality, the extent to which they demonstrate extraordinary creativity and insight in their solution to the design problem, and for their relationship to the site and the surrounding environment. (Weight 60%)
- b. The projects submitted will be evaluated for their constructability, innovative use of materials and construction technology, sustainable design elements, and for their potential long-term viability within reasonable cost parameters. (Weight 40%)

2. Stage Two Selection Process (Applicable to Stage One Short-Listed Proposers Only)

Selection Process:

An evaluation committee comprised of an independent design professional, DDC and client agency personnel will review, evaluate and score all Technical Proposals pursuant to the criteria prescribed below. This evaluation and scoring will determine the proposer's Stage Two Technical Rating. Proposers will then be ranked in accordance with their overall Stage Two Technical Ratings.

Each Stage Two proposers will be requested to make a one-hour presentation of their submission. Such presentation shall include the following: (1) an introduction of the firm, the lead designer, project manager, and any subconsultants critical to the success of the project. (2) explanation of the proposed project methodology, including project approach, problem solving techniques, and statement of primary design objectives of this project intended to meet the standard of design excellence as described in Section II of this RFP. The presentation should be structured to highlight the team's response to the submission requirements noted below for Stage Two Proposals. In addition, the portfolio of the projects submitted for Stage One shall be available for further evaluation.

The evaluation committee may amend their initial Stage Two scores based on the proposers' presentation. Proposers will be ranked in accordance with their final technical ratings. The rankings will be submitted to the Executive Consultant Selection Committee who will certify the results and authorize price negotiations to commence with the highest ranked firm. Should successful negotiations fail with the highest ranked firm, the ECSC will authorize negotiations to commence with the next highest ranked firm.

Proposal Evaluation Criteria: The proposal evaluation criteria are as follows:

- a. Experience of Firm and Subconsultants (Weight 40%)
- b. Key Personnel (Weight 30%)
- c. Technical Approach (Weight 30%)

3. Basis of Award

The Department of Design and Construction will award a contract to the responsible proposer whose proposal is determined to be the highest quality and most advantageous to the City, taking into consideration the overall quality of the proposal as measured against factors or criteria as set forth in the Request for Proposals and the successful negotiation of an appropriate fee. Such fee negotiation shall commence upon written notification and shall conclude not more than thirty days after receipt of the fee proposal.

4. Supply and Service Report

Upon selection, the successful proposer will be required to submit one original copy of the Department of Business Services Supply and Service Report, a copy of which can be downloaded from <http://www.nyc.gov/html/ddc/html/otherfrm.html>. Upon written notification, the proposer must submit the Supply and Service Report within ten days of notification.

5. VENDEX

Upon selection, the successful proposer will be required to submit proof of filing of the appropriate VENDEX Questionnaires. Upon written notification the proposer must submit a Confirmation of Vendex Compliance to DDC within five days of official notification. A form for this confirmation is set forth in the RFP.

The proposer is advised that Vendex Questionnaires and procedures have changed. See www.nyc.gov/vendex to download the new VENDEX Questionnaires and a Vendor's Guide to VENDEX or contact DDC's VENDEX Unit at 718-391-1845.

- (a) Submission: Vendex Questionnaires (if required) must be submitted directly to the Mayor's Office of Contract Services, ATTN: Vendex, 253 Broadway, 9th Floor, New York, New York 10007.
- (b) Requirement: Pursuant to Administrative Code Section 6-116.2 and the PPB Rules, proposers may be obligated to complete and submit VENDEX Questionnaires. If required, Vendex Questionnaires must be completed and submitted before any award of contract may be made or before approval is given for a proposed subcontractor. Non-compliance with these submission requirements may result in the disqualification of the proposal, disapproval of a subcontractor, subsequent withdrawal of approval for the use of an approved subcontractor, or the cancellation of the contract after award.

6. Contract Finalization

Upon notification, the successful proposer will be asked to finalize a contract with DDC subject to the conditions specified in the RFP and to the agency's standard contract provisions. The contents of the selected proposal, together with this RFP and any addendum(s) provided during the proposal process, may be incorporated into the final contract to be developed by the agency.

ATTACHMENT 3

PROPOSED STAFFING PLAN



NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF
DESIGN + CONSTRUCTION

DAVID J. BURNEY, AIA
Commissioner

DONALD HOOKER
Agency Chief
Contracting Officer

PROJECT: Pre-Proposal Conference for Architectural and
Engineering Design Service Contract
Public Safety Answering Center II
PIN: 8502007PD0007P

DATE: Tuesday, May 01, 2007

TIME: 10:00 AM – 11:30 AM

TO: All Attendees

FROM: Carlo DiFava

Pre-Proposal Conference May 01, 2007 Minutes

Attendees:

David Resnick – DDC/Deputy Commissioner, Structures Division
Carlo DiFava – DDC/ACCO
Veronica Nnabugwu – DDC/ACCO
James A. Cerasoli – DDC/ACCO
Donna Pope – DDC/ACCO
Robin Burns – DDC/Architectural and Engineering Design
Rezeanu Tatiana – DDC / Structures

William Gross – DOITT
A Tria – NYPD / Deputy Inspector
Joe Mastropietro - FDNY
Mario Carniero - FDNY
Terry Woods - FDNY

Janet Cheekman - Furnstahl & Simon Architects	Kirt Kucsima - Abramowitz Kingsland Schiff
Dave Kossover - Ammann & Whitney	Christine K. - Ben Hansen Arch.
Bob Ventriguia - Birdsall Eng. LLC	Bob Bazawicz - Cosentini
Martin Santini - Cubellis Ecoplan Arch.	Hunt Stehli - CWL
Jeff Dugan - Dattner Architects	Joe Salvatore - EYP Mission Crit.

M. Hutchkiiis - FXFowle	
Leo Field - Field Assoc. (MBE)	Jacob Salvatore - Gensler
Denis Boyun - Gilbane	Liz Santonas - Gilsanz Murray Stefrek
Deborah Rosenberg - GPI	Mark Husser - Grimshaw
Juan Porral - Grimshaw	William Singer - Gruzen Samton
Jordan Gruzen - Gruzen Samton	Howard Chynsky – HAKS
Mario Perez - HAKS	Brian Shafer - Highland Assoc.
Liz Depace - Highland Assoc.	Matt Murello - HOK
Jim Kessler - HOK	Kent Turner - HOK
Brendan Weiden - JBB	Gerard Murray - Kling Stubbins
Tom Reed - Kling Stubbins	Jerold Cohen - LIRO
	Richard Martino - Parsons
Wendimane Havene - Parsons	Chet Longenecker - Parsons
Paul Shackman - Plumb Engineering	Steven Chang - Polshek Partnership
Fred Wilmers - Rafael Vinoly	Gussie Schultz - Ronnette Riley Architects
Frederic Schwartz - Schwartz Architects	Juan Mejia - SHCA
M. Izhar - SI Engineering	William Serra - Sigma 7 Design
Ron Gupta - Sigma 7 Design	John Fawcett - SOM
Todd Degarmo - Studios	Brian T. - Studios
Al Klein - STV Inc.	Jasmine Besana - Swanke Hayden Connell
Tim Dumbleton - TEN Arquitectos	Rafael Stein - Urbahn Architects
Donn Henry - Urbahn Architects	David Beckett - URS Corp.
Frank Swetits - Walshe - Lowe	Len Franco - WASA
Bob Smilowitz - Weidlinger Assoc.	Ro Doherty - Werfel & Associates
Larry Werfel - Werfel & Associates	

GENERAL

The purpose of this meeting is to explain and clarify the RFP and contract for the Architectural and Engineering Design Services for new Public Safety Answering Center II.

David Resnick, DDC/Deputy Commissioner, opened the meeting with a short introduction outlining the variety and complexity of DDC work and the citywide importance of PSAC II function.

Inspector A. Tria presented the facility program and project scope of work

The meeting was opened for questions and answers.

1. QUESTION: What is the program status?

ANSWER: The program is well detailed in terms of functions, room sizes, structural, mechanical, electrical and fire safety systems. It will be given to the short listed firms.

2. QUESTION: How many firms will be on the short list?

ANSWER: Five

3. QUESTION: What is the portfolio format?

ANSWER: Proposals should contain a five project portfolio printed on 11x17 sheet, single or double sided, folded at half, and the resumes of the team members. The resumes could be printed on 8.5x 11 sheets double sided or on the back of the 11x17 paper.

If the proposer is a joint venture partnership, the maximum 5 project portfolio is for both firms.

4. QUESTION: Is only a prime design consultant acceptable?
ANSWER: A joint venture partnership is also acceptable.
5. QUESTION: What is the content of the stage 2 information?
ANSWER: The program, the project objectives (scope of services) and a draft of design contract will be provided to the short listed firms.
6. QUESTION: What is the due date for the stage 2 submission?
ANSWER: It will be included with other information for stage 2 posting.
7. QUESTION: How and when is the IT procurement process being done?
ANSWER: The scope of work for this RFP is a core and shell building with complete redundant utility and distribution, coordinated with latest technology requirements during the building design process. Design and installation of communication and radio equipment is part of a different project.
8. QUESTION: Is security and audio visual part of the scope of work?
ANSWER: Yes. Also the main vertical distribution and some cabling for communication will be installed.
9. QUESTION: Has a location been selected?
ANSWER: The site is in the Bronx at the intersection of the Pelham Parkway and Hutchinson River Parkway next to Bronx Psychiatric Center. A pdf. Drawing of the site is posted on DDC web site with the RFP.
Landmark, BSA or zoning variance approvals are not needed.
Presently EIS and ULURP are in an independent ongoing process.
10. QUESTION: What is the extent of the building hardening?
ANSWER: The emphasis is on structure and function. The building should withstand hurricane, flood, and blast conditions. The HVAC system requires HEPA filters; it does not need to be resistant to biological attack, or nuclear contamination.
11. QUESTION: Is an antenna tower part of the work?
ANSWER: It is possible.
12. QUESTION: Is it a multi-pavilion or single building?
ANSWER: The program provides for one large building, a parking garage and a security pavilion.
13. QUESTION: How many employees?
ANSWER: 1700/per day in three equal shifts.
14. QUESTION: Where is PSAC I going to be relocated?
ANSWER: This facility duplicates the existing PSAC and will become later the primary facility. PSAC I will remain active.

15. QUESTION: What is the building design approach?

ANSWER: The design challenge of this project is to accomplish a massive important civic construction, discreet and indestructible at the same time.

16. QUESTION: Could the proposal contain a single consultant without presenting the team?

ANSWER: The stage 1 submission need not be for the entire team. The stage 2 submission will require information on the team, as explained in the RFP.